

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 905 /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 11 MAR 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 36 OF 2005.**

**(Sub :- Appointment)**

- 1 Shri Santosh D. Sawant,  
R/at. A/p. Mangnoor Turf Sawantwadi, Tal. Gadhinglaj, Dist. Kolhapur.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, through 2 The Tahasildar, Gadhinglaj,  
The Secretary for Revenue and Tal. Gadhinglaj, Dist. Kolhapur.  
Forest Department,  
3 Shri Vijay Govind Desai,  
R/at. A/P. Mangnoor Turf  
Swantwadi, Tal. Gadhinglaj,  
Dist. Kolhapur.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 04<sup>th</sup> day of **March, 2016** has made the following order:-

**APPEARANCE :** Applicant and Advocate Absent.  
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents Nos. 1 & 2.  
Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

**CORAM :** **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**  
**HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

**DATE :** **04.03.2016.**

**ORDER :** Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Amal*  
*20/3/2016*  
**Research Officer,**  
**Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,**  
**Mumbai.**

7

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

**CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Tribunal's orders

**04.03.2016**

**O.A No 36/2005**

Applicant and his advocate absent. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent no 1 & 2 and Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.

We have perused our order of 18.2.2016 and the earlier order dated 17.11.2005. Mrs K.S Gaikwad has since ascertained the position with regard to the W.Ps to which reference was made in the order of 17.11.2005. It appears that these two W.Ps were not carried from any order made in this particular O.A 36/2005. In fact, by the order of 17.11.2005 also, it was made clear that what was pending before the Hon. High Court in the form of the W.Ps was not the subject matter. Even on that day, none appeared for the Applicant and the O.A remained in the sine die list. It is very clear that the Applicant is not interested in prosecuting this O.A and the same is accordingly dismissed for default with no order as to costs.

*Sd/- 04.03.16*  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)

*Sd/-*  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Akn

*TRUBECCHY*  
*Arora*  
*10/3/2016*  
Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Mumbai